

(SMT. YASHODARA DASAPPA)

(b)

Year	Grants released to	
	Balawadi	Sanskara Kendra
	Rs.	Rs.
1957-58	3,000	...
1958-59	2,000	...
1959-60	1,800	2,500
1960-61	1,000	...

(c) No. The question of releasing grants for previous years when these institutions were functioning is under examination and will be decided after receipt of particulars regarding the manner in which the institutions functioned during the years for which grants are requested and the audited statement of accounts of these institutions for those years.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಪಿ. ಫಾಯದೆ.—ಮುಂಡಗೋಡಿನಲ್ಲಿದ್ದ ಬಾಲವಾಡಿ ಮತ್ತು ಸಂಸ್ಕಾರ ಕೇಂದ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಯಾವಾಗ ಮುಚ್ಚಲಾಯಿತು ?

† ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಯಶೋಧರ ದಾಸಪ್ಪ.—1960-61ರಲ್ಲಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಪಿ. ಫಾಯದೆ.—1960-61ರಲ್ಲಿ ಅದು ಮುಚ್ಚಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. 1961-62ನೇ ವರ್ಷದ ಗ್ರಾಂಟ್ ಆ ವರ್ಷ ನಿಕ್ಕಿಲ್ಲ. 1963ರಲ್ಲಿ ಅದು ಮುಚ್ಚಲಾಯಿತು. ಈ ಅವಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಗ್ರಾಂಟ್ ಕೊಡದೇ ಇರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ?

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಯಶೋಧರ ದಾಸಪ್ಪ.—ಇದು ವಾಲಂಟರಿ ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಟಿಟ್ಯೂಟ್ ಆಗಿದೆ. ಇದನ್ನು ನಡೆಸತಕ್ಕಂಥವರು ಆಡಿಟ್ ಮಾಡಿದ ನರಿಯಾದ ರೆಕ್ಕ ಪತ್ರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿದು ಬಂದಿದೆ. ಈ ವಿಷಯ ಗೋತ್ತಾದ ಮೇಲೆ ಸೋಷಿಯಲ್ ವೆರ್‌ಫೇರ್ ಡಿಪಾರ್ಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟಿನ ಡೈರೆಕ್ಟರ್ ಅವರು ತಾವೇ ತನಿಖೆ ನಡೆಸಿ ರೆಕ್ಕ ಪತ್ರ ಫೈನಾನ್ಸ್ ಡಿಪಾರ್ಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂಬುದು ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆ.

Houses and Lands that have been Surrendered to the Land Acquisition Officer, Mangalore Harbour Project, Panambur in South Kanara District.

*Q.—59. Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA (Suratkal).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the number of persons whose houses and lands, that have been surrendered to the Land Acquisition Officer, Mangalore Harbour Project, Panambur in South Kanara District ;

† Indicates that the remarks or speeches have not been revised by the member concerned.

(b) the amount of compensation claimed by each of them for houses and lands ;

(c) the amount of compensation paid to each of them for houses and lands ;

(d) the total land area actually surrendered to the Government as on 31st March 1964 ;

(e) the balance of the land area required to be acquired and the nature of such land ?

A.—Sri VEERENDRA PATIL (Minister for Public Works).—

(a) 306 families.

(b) It is difficult to furnish the amount of compensation claimed by each of the displaced persons as well the awards have not yet been finalised.

(c) Only one award has been finalised and a sum of Rs. 4,28,391-05 has been paid to 42 persons for the lands acquired in Baikampady and Panambur villages towards staff quarters.

A sum of Rs. 5,38,745 has been paid in 164 cases as 75 per cent advance for house building and in 8 cases a sum of Rs. 48,550 towards 75 per cent advance compensation has been paid.

(d) 346 acres.

(e) The total area required for acquisition for the Mangalore Harbour Project is 2,740 acres. Out of this, 43 acres 18 cents have already been acquired and about 1,200 acres have already been brought under acquisition proceedings and are at various stages from 4 (1) Notification to award. The balance area to be acquired is about 1,496 for which preliminary survey is under progress. The area comprises wet, garden and dry lands and the extent under each category can be known only after the completion of survey.

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—What are the facilities and concessions that are offered to those people whose lands and houses were acquired for the purposes of this harbour ?

Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO (Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Public Works).—I think, they have been in the answer furnished. Government have issued a G. O. If my friend wants a copy of it, I can give it to him.

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—Whether it is a fact that these concessions and facilities originally offered have been withdrawn ?

Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—No. In the matter of compensation, there are two Government Orders. One with regard to people who surrender their lands voluntarily and secondly in respect of those persons from whom the lands are proposed to be acquired. If the Hon'ble Member wants the Government Order, I shall give a copy.

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—What area of the land was originally proposed to be acquired for the major harbour ?

Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—An extent of 1,243 acres was proposed.

Sri SIDDIAIAH KASHIMATH.—Amongst the 306 families, affected, how many have got compensation ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—It has been given in the answer.

Sri SIDDIAIAH KASHIMATH.—That is not what I want. I want to know, out of the 306 families, how many families got compensation ?

Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—If my friend wants more details than are furnished in the answer, I want notice.

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—It is given in the answer that 2,540 acres of land was proposed to be acquired, whereas the Deputy Minister is saying that it is only 1,200 acres. What specific purpose this additional acquisition of 1,554 acres of land are meant for ?

Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—With regard to additional lands, the Government of India asked us to acquire it for the Harbour estate; and on the recommendation of the Technical Advisory Committee as well as the engineering sub-committee, the Government, of India have asked the State Government to acquire this land for the major project of this magnitude for future development.

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—What is meant by the term 'harbour estate' ? What is the specific purpose ?

Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—The Government of India say this is required for future development.

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—When this Government is acting according to the instructions of the Government of India for harbour estate, this State Government must be aware of it. What is specifically meant by the term 'harbour estate' ? If this Government does not know it why should the Government propose to acquire these lands ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—Sir, it is the Government of India which has taken up the development of the Mangalore Port into a major port. They say it is going to be a deep harbour. At present they have six berths; if they want to have more berths because this is going to be the biggest part on the West Coast. For future expansion they want to get lands now alone, so that there may be no difficulty for future expansion. That is why they have asked us to acquire 1,500 acres. So far as the State Government is concerned, we are interested in the development of the port. The State Government is only an agent in this matter and they say whatever compensation is asked, they are agreeable to pay.

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—Was it not known earlier when the plan for the Mangalore Harbour was drawn up ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—With regard to a major port the Hon'ble Member's know that under the Constitution, it is the Central Government that will settle details. If the Central Government decides all details and requests the State Government to acquire so much land and when that request comes from the Central Government the State Government may have to say "No" 'we are not going to acquire unless you satisfy us or make us understand the meaning of the term 'harbour estate'. Is that the idea?

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—What I say is that Government must have a clear picture of the Harbour Estate.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Who is to have a clear picture? Is it the person who acquires properties for the development of the harbour or the State Government which is only acting as agent in acquiring the land?

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—The State Government must have a clear picture of the harbour estate so that they may convince the people as to the necessity of giving those lands.

Mr. SPEAKER.—For that purpose, does the Hon'ble Member want the State Government must understand it so that they may convince the people whose lands are going to be acquired?

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—Because of the failure of the State Government to convince the people, as to the specific terms of the harbour estate, naturally people would not be so obliged.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—Does the member consider legal obligation or moral persuasion?

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—Both, Sir Is it a fact that when the Hon'ble Chief Minister visited Mangalore, he told in a public meeting that he proposed to take away the bundar from Mangalore to Malpe or Karwar?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Is it capable of being taken away like that? Let the Hon'ble Member be very clear that if people in Panambur object to it, the Chief Minister said that the site of the port may be changed.

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—Yes, Sir. I want a specific answer from the Government whether he stated that it would be changed to Malpe or Karwar.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—It is a strange question that is being raised now. There are certain elements which want to preven, for political reasons, acquisition of land. I told them that it was a wrong approach, and that if we resisted, it might be possible we might lose the port altogether. But on that account, we are not going to step down. We want the Central Government to have a first class port in Mangalore and there is no question of going back. We have decided and we are going to build it up come what may.

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—May I know the nature of the furtherland proposed to be acquired and whether that land will be suitable for the harbour estate?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—It is eminently suitable and the technical committee of experts have found it so.

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—Did they visit the port site, and if so, what is the opinion of the technical expert committee?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—That has been answered.

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—Is it a fact that the facilities and concessions offered to those people are far from satisfactory and did Government receive any representation on that score?

Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—The facilities and concessions offered have been quite satisfactory. I have visited the spot and have convinced myself that the facilities have been offered and practically there was no complaint.

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—Hon'ble Chief Minister said there were political elements who were opposed. What exactly does he mean by political elements? What are their activities which prevented the Government in acquiring the land?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—My friend knows it much better.

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—If I had known, I would not have asked the question. It meant a sort of insult.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—If the Hon'ble Member presses too much, I can't press the Government for an answer. He may put the question and leave it at that.

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—If certain political elements are interested in preventing the formation of the natural harbour at Panambur.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—Does the Member aware of any such parties?

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—I am not aware of any political parties as such. The Hon'ble Chief Minister said political elements, and I wanted a specific reply as to who those elements are?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—If anybody knows Mangalore District, they know that meetings have been held and people have been asked to resist.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಂ. ಕೃಷ್ಣ.—ಈ ಬಂದರಿಗೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚುವರಿ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಆಕ್ವಯರ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂಬ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಮೇಲೆ ಜನರಿಂದ ಬಂದ ಪ್ರತಿಭಟನೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ರಾಜ್ಯ ಹಾಗೂ ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರಗಳು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡ ಫೋರಣೆಯೇನು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಏನೂ ಪರಿಣಾಮವಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಬಹುಶಃ ಎಲ್ಲರೂ ಕೊಡದಿದ್ದಂಥ ಪರಿಹಾರವನ್ನು ಆ ಜನರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಲಾಯಿತು.

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—What are the demands of people who resist further acquisition?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That it should not be acquired at all.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—The major stand was they did not like to give up land. Unfortunately we have to acquire land, and those people want due compensation and certain relief measures, that has been given.

Sri SIDDIAH KASHIMATH.—How many representations have been given—not to give those lands for acquisition?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—In such cases, the same representations will be repeated a number of times.

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—How many Writ petitions have been filed by those people on whom orders have been served—in the original acquisition of 1,200 acres and odd?

Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—There is only one Writ Petition of Padukote village regarding the acquisition of 124 acres.

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—What are the further facilities that Government propose to give in Kulai and Kalpathi rehabilitation centres?

Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—Government have not proposed to give further facilities. The facilities already given are more than adequate.

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—Is it a fact that the World Bank which is financing this project, now wanted a resurvey of the available area.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—It does not arise under this question.

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—But this is an important question...

Mr. SPEAKER.—Then put a separate Question and I will admit it. Next question.

Damage caused to the newly constructed Houses for the Scheduled Tribes of Rangampet in Shorapur Taluk.

*Q.—63. Sri MAHANTA SWAMY VIRUPAKSHAIAH [on behalf of SRI RAJA PID NAIK (Shorapur)].—

Will the Government be pleased to state :

(a) whether they are aware that the houses newly constructed for the Scheduled Tribes (Woddars), of Rangampet in Shorapur Taluk have been badly damaged and have become unfit for occupation ;

(b) the number of houses so constructed under the scheme, and the number occupied by them ;

(c) whether they intend to take immediate action to relieve the people of their distress ?

A.— Smt. YASHODARA DASAPPA (Minister for Social Welfare).—

(a) Of the 50 houses constructed during 1959-60 for the Denotified Tribes (Woddars), 39 houses are damaged.

Note :— The Woddars of this area are Denotified Tribes. (Not Scheduled Tribes)

(b) 50 houses were constructed ; 11 houses are occupied at present.

(c) The beneficiaries are responsible for repairs and maintenance of houses, as they were already given Rs. 400 per house and there are no schemes to provide funds for renovating also.

ಶ್ರೀ ಮಹಂತಸ್ವಾಮಿ ವಿರೂಪಾಕ್ಷಯ್ಯ. —(ಎ) ಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ 50 ಮನೆಗಳ ಪೈಕಿ 39 ಮನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಹಾನಿಯುಂಟಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಅದೇನು ಚಿಕ್ಕತರಹೆಯು ಹಾನಿಯೋ ಅಥವಾ ಅವು ವಾಸಕ್ಕೆ ಬಾರದಷ್ಟು ಹಾನಿಯಾಗಿವೆಯೋ ?

† ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಯಶೋಧರ ದಾಸಪ್ಪ. —ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಜನರು ವಾಸಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri SIDDIAH KASHIMATH.—It is stated here that 11 houses have been occupied. Why are the other houses not been-occupied ?

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಯಶೋಧರ ದಾಸಪ್ಪ. —ಈ ಮನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಮಳೆಯು ದೆಶೆಯಿಂದ ಅಪಾಯ ಉಂಟಾಯಿತು. ಈ ಮನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಒಂದು ಮನೆಗೆ 400 ರೂ. ಸಬ್ಸಿಡಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರು. ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಮನೆಗಳನ್ನು 60—61 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಕಟ್ಟಿದ ನಂತರ 1963 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾರಿ ಮಳೆ ಬಂದ